

POSITIVELY CURVED COMPLEX SUBMANIFOLDS IMMERSED IN A COMPLEX PROJECTIVE SPACE

KOICHI OGIUE

1. Statement of results

Let $P_{n+p}(\mathbb{C})$ be a complex projective space of complex dimension $n + p$ with the Fubini-Study metric of constant holomorphic sectional curvature 1. By a *Kaehler submanifold* we mean a complex submanifold with induced Kaehler structure.

The purpose of this paper is to prove the following two theorems.

Theorem 1. *Let M be an n -dimensional complete Kaehler submanifold immersed in $P_{n+p}(\mathbb{C})$. If every holomorphic sectional curvature of M is greater than $1/2$, and the scalar curvature of M is constant, then M is totally geodesic in $P_{n+p}(\mathbb{C})$.*

Theorem 2. *Let M be an n -dimensional complete Kaehler submanifold immersed in $P_{n+p}(\mathbb{C})$. If every holomorphic sectional curvature of M is greater than $1 - \frac{1}{2}(n + 2)/(n + 2p)$, then M is totally geodesic in $P_{n+p}(\mathbb{C})$.*

It is clear that in the case of $p = 1$, Theorem 2 is an improvement of Theorem 1.

2. Preliminaries

Let J (resp. \tilde{J}) be the complex structure of M (resp. $P_{n+p}(\mathbb{C})$), let g (resp. \tilde{g}) be the Kaehler metric of M (resp. $P_{n+p}(\mathbb{C})$), and denote by ∇ (resp. $\tilde{\nabla}$) the covariant differentiation with respect to g (resp. \tilde{g}). Then the second fundamental form σ of the immersion is given by

$$\sigma(X, Y) = \tilde{\nabla}_X Y - \nabla_X Y,$$

and satisfies $J\sigma(X, Y) = \sigma(JX, Y) = \sigma(X, JY)$, and the structure equation of Gauss is

$$\begin{aligned} g(R(X, Y)Z, W) &= \tilde{g}(\sigma(X, W), \sigma(Y, Z)) - \tilde{g}(\sigma(X, Z), \sigma(Y, W)) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{4}[g(X, W)g(Y, Z) - g(X, Z)g(Y, W)] \end{aligned}$$

$$+ g(JX, W)g(JY, Z) - g(JX, Z)g(JY, W) \\ + 2g(X, JY)g(JZ, W)] ,$$

where R is the curvature tensor field of M . Let $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_p, \xi_{1^*}, \dots, \xi_{p^*}$ ($\xi_{i^*} = J\xi_i$) be local fields of orthonormal vectors normal to M . We use the following convention on the range of indices: $i, j = 1, \dots, p$; $\lambda, \mu = 1, \dots, p, 1^*, \dots, p^*$. If we set

$$g(A_i X, Y) = \tilde{g}(\sigma(X, Y), \xi_i) ,$$

then $A_i, \lambda = 1, \dots, p, 1^*, \dots, p^*$, are local fields of symmetric linear transformations. We can easily see that $A_{i^*} = JA_i$ and $JA_i = -A_i J$ so that, in particular, $\text{tr } A_i = 0$. Moreover, the structure equation of Gauss can be written in terms of A_i 's as

$$(1) \quad g(R(X, Y)Z, W) = \sum [g(A_i X, W)g(A_i Y, Z) - g(A_i X, Z)g(A_i Y, W)] \\ + \frac{1}{2}[g(X, W)g(Y, Z) - g(X, Z)g(Y, W) \\ + g(JX, W)g(JY, Z) - g(JX, Z)g(JY, W) \\ + 2g(X, JY)g(JZ, W)] .$$

Let S be the Ricci tensor of M , and ρ the scalar curvature of M . Then we have

$$(2) \quad S(X, Y) = \frac{1}{2}(n+1)g(X, Y) - 2g(\sum A_i^2 X, Y) ,$$

$$(3) \quad \rho = n(n+1) - \|\sigma\|^2 ,$$

where $\|\sigma\|$ is the length of the second fundamental form of the immersion so that

$$\|\sigma\|^2 = 2 \sum \text{tr } A_i^2 .$$

We can see from (1) that the holomorphic sectional curvature H of M determined by a unit vector X is given by

$$(4) \quad H(X) = 1 - 2\|\sigma(X, X)\|^2 = 1 - 2 \sum g(A_i X, X)^2 .$$

It is known that the second fundamental form σ satisfies a differential equation which gives

Lemma 1 [2]. *We have*

$$\frac{1}{2} \Delta \|\sigma\|^2 = \|\nabla' \sigma\|^2 + \sum \text{tr} (A_i A_{i^*} - A_{i^*} A_i)^2 \\ - \sum [\text{tr} (A_i A_{i^*})]^2 + \frac{1}{2}(n+2)\|\sigma\|^2 ,$$

where Δ denotes the Laplacian, and ∇' the covariant differentiation with respect to the connection (in tangent bundle) \oplus (normal bundle).

3. Proof of theorems

Since M is complete and every holomorphic sectional curvature of M is bounded from below by a positive number, M is compact.

First we prove Theorem 1. Since $1/2 < H \leq 1$ and ρ is constant, Theorem 2 in [1] implies that H is constant. This, combined with the corollary to Theorem 3 in [4] and Theorem 1 in [3], implies that M is totally geodesic.

Next we prove Theorem 2. From (4) we can see that if every holomorphic sectional curvature of M is greater than $1 - \delta$, then the square of every eigenvalue of A_i must be smaller than $\delta/2$. Therefore we have

$$(5) \quad \text{tr}(A_i^2 A_\mu^2) \leq \frac{\delta}{2} \text{tr} A_i^2 \quad \text{for all } \lambda \text{ and } \mu.$$

Lemma 2. *If $H > 1 - \delta$, then*

$$(6) \quad \sum \text{tr}(A_i A_\mu - A_\mu A_i)^2 + 2p\delta \|\sigma\|^2 \geq 0.$$

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum \text{tr}(A_i A_\mu - A_\mu A_i)^2 \\ &= -2 \sum \text{tr}(A_i^2 A_\mu^2 - (A_i A_\mu)^2) \\ &= -2 \left[\sum_{i \neq j} \text{tr}(A_i^2 A_j^2 - (A_i A_j)^2) + 2 \sum \text{tr}(A_i^2 A_i^* - (A_i A_i^*)^2) \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \sum_{i \neq j} \text{tr}(A_i^2 A_j^* - (A_i A_j^*)^2) + \sum_{i \neq j} \text{tr}(A_i^* A_j^* - (A_i^* A_j^*)^2) \right] \\ &= -4 \left[\sum_{i \neq j} \text{tr}(A_i^2 A_j^2 - (A_i A_j)^2) + 2 \sum \text{tr} A_i^4 + \sum_{i \neq j} \text{tr}(A_i^2 A_j^2 + (A_i A_j)^2) \right] \\ &= -8 \left[\sum_{i \neq j} \text{tr} A_i^2 A_j^2 + \sum \text{tr} A_i^4 \right] = -8 \sum \text{tr}(A_i^2 A_j^2). \end{aligned}$$

From (5) it follows that

$$\sum \text{tr}(A_i^2 A_j^2) \leq \frac{p\delta}{2} \sum \text{tr} A_i^2 = \frac{p\delta}{4} \|\sigma\|^2.$$

which implies (6) immediately.

Lemma 3. *If $H > 1 - \delta$, then*

$$(7) \quad \sum [\text{tr}(A_i A_\mu)]^2 \leq n\delta \|\sigma\|^2.$$

Proof. Let $\Lambda = \text{tr}(A_i A_\mu)$. Then Λ is a local field of symmetric $(2p, 2p)$ -matrix. Since $\sum [\text{tr}(A_i A_\mu)]^2 = \text{tr} \Lambda^2$, $\sum [\text{tr}(A_i A_\mu)]^2$ is a geometric invariant,

i.e., it does not depend on the choice of ξ_1, \dots, ξ_p . Therefore it suffices to show that the inequality holds for a suitable choice of ξ_1, \dots, ξ_p at each point of M . Since $A = \text{tr}(A_i A_{i^*})$ is a real representation of the Hermitian matrix $A_0 = (\text{tr}(A_i A_j) + \sqrt{-1} \text{tr}(A_i A_{j^*}))$, it can be diagonalized by a unitary transformation at each point of M . In other words, at each point of M , A can be assumed to be diagonal for a suitable choice of ξ_1, \dots, ξ_p , that is,

$${}^t UAU = \begin{bmatrix} \text{tr } \tilde{A}_1^2 & & & & & \\ & \ddots & & & & \\ & & \text{tr } \tilde{A}_p^2 & & & \\ & & & \text{tr } \tilde{A}_1^2 & & \\ & 0 & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & & \text{tr } \tilde{A}_p^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

for (real representation of) some unitary matrix U . Therefore we obtain

$$(8) \quad \sum [\text{tr}(A_i A_{i^*})]^2 = \text{tr } A^2 = \text{tr}({}^t UAU)^2 = 2 \sum (\text{tr } \tilde{A}_i^2)^2 \leq 4n \sum \text{tr } \tilde{A}_i^2,$$

by using the general fact that a symmetric $(2n, 2n)$ -matrix A satisfies $(\text{tr } A^2)^2 \leq 2n \text{tr } A^4$. (8), together with (5), hence implies (7). q.e.d.

From Lemmas 1, 2 and 3 it follows that

$$\frac{1}{2} \Delta \|\sigma\|^2 \geq [\frac{1}{2}(n + 2) - (n + 2p)\delta] \|\delta\|^2.$$

Since $\delta = \frac{1}{2}(n + 2)/(n + 2p)$, we have $\Delta \|\sigma\|^2 \geq 0$. Thus by the well-known Bochner's lemma, $\|\delta\|^2$ is constant, and so is ρ due to (3). Since $1 - \frac{1}{2}(n + 2)/(n + 2p) \geq \frac{1}{2}$, Theorem 1 implies that M is totally geodesic.

Bibliography

- [1] R. L. Bishop & S. I. Goldberg, *On the topology of positively curved Kaehler manifolds*. II, Tôhoku Math. J. **17** (1965) 310-318.
- [2] K. Ogiue, *Differential geometry of algebraic manifolds*, Differential Geometry, in Honor of K. Yano, Kinokuniya, Tokyo, 1972, 355-372.
- [3] ———, *On Kaehler immersions*, Canad. J. Math. **24** (1972) 1178-1182.
- [4] B. O'Neill, *Isotropic and Kaehler immersions*, Canad. J. Math., **17** (1965) 907-915.

MICHIGAN STATE UNIVERSITY
 TOKYO METROPOLITAN UNIVERSITY